





## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/232,880	01/15/1999	JIANGCHUN XU	210121.428C6	8285
500 75	90 99/08/2003			
SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLLC 701 FIFTH AVE SUITE 6300			EXAMINER	
			HARRIS, ALANA M	
SEATTLE, WA	98104-7092		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1642	71
			DATE MAILED: 09/08/2003	9

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/232,880	XU ET AL.	
Advisory Modell	Examiner	Art Unit	-
•	Alana M. Harris, Ph.D.	1642	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 18 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica ) a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a Ition in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]	•	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offi timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing SFILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION.  R 1.136(a) and the approperture of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR			
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	s.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			•
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:	•		
Claim(s) objected to:			•
Claim(s) rejected: 8, 9, 11, 12, 27-30 and 34-37.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Exami	ner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. Other:		ALANA HARA	IS NED

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicants have shanged the scope of the invention, which requires a new search. Applicants have amended the claims to recite new methods steps, which were not searched previously. For example, the assay now requires at least two oligonucleotide primers in a polymerase chain reaction and the amplification of a polynucleotide.